

Momentum Trading, Mean Reversal and Overreaction in Chinese Stock Market *

YANGRU WU

Rutgers University
and
Hong Kong Institute for Monetary Research

December 2003

(Preliminary, Comments Welcome)

ABSTRACT

While the vast majority of the literature reports momentum profitability to be overwhelming in the U.S. market and widespread in other countries, this paper finds that the pure momentum strategy in general does not yield excess profitability in the Chinese stock markets. We find instead strong mean reversion. A pure contrarian investment strategy produces positive excess returns and in general outperforms the pure momentum strategy. Momentum interacts with mean reversion. A strategy based on the rolling-regression parameter estimates of the model combining mean reversion and momentum generates positive excess returns in all cases, most of which statistically significant. The combined strategy outperforms both pure momentum and pure contrarian strategies. The strategy loads positively on the market risk factor, but the beta risk explains only a relatively small part of the excess return. Nor is transactions cost a dominant factor in explaining the excess profitability.

Keywords: Chinese Stocks, Mean Reversal, Momentum, Overreaction

* Address for correspondence: Rutgers Business School-Newark & New Brunswick, Rutgers University, Newark, NJ 07102-3027, yangruwu@andromeda.rutgers.edu, Phone: (973) 353-1146, Fax: (973) 353-1233. Part of this work was completed while I visited the Hong Kong Institute for Monetary Research. I thank the Institutes for its hospitality and financial support. The views expressed in this paper are those of mine and do not necessarily reflect those of the Hong Kong Institute for Monetary Research, its Council of Advisors or Board of Directors.

Introduction

Financial economists have documented a number of anomalies in the stock market. Among these anomalies, two of them have received particular attention over the past decade, that is, long-term mean reversal and short-term momentum in equity returns. Jegadeesh and Titman (1993) first report that equity returns exhibit short-term continuation. They demonstrate that a momentum strategy of sorting firms by their previous returns over the past 6-9 months and holding those with the best prior performance and short selling those with the worst prior performance generates an excess return of about one percent per month for U.S. stocks. This finding has motivated numerous researchers to study momentum in other markets and/or other sample periods, including Jegadeesh and Titman (2001), Rouwenhorst (1998), Chan, Hameed and Tong (2000), Grundy and Martin (2001), Griffin, Ji and Martin (2003), and Patro and Wu (2003), among many others. On the other hand, another strand of literature documents that equity returns are negatively serially correlated and stock prices have a tendency to revert to their trend lines over the long horizons. See Fama and French (1988), and Poterba and Summers (1988). DeBondt and Thaler (1985) show that a contrarian investment strategy that buys the worst-performing stocks and short sells the best-performing stocks over the previous 3-5 years can generate excess profitability over the next 3-5 years. These results have stimulated other researchers to test for mean reversion and to investigate the profitability of contrarian-based strategies in other context. See, for example, Chopra, Lakonishok, and Ritter (1992), Richards (1997), and Balvers, Wu and Gilliland (2000).

The purpose of this paper is to study momentum and mean reversal in the Chinese stock markets. This research is interesting for several reasons. First, while numerous previous researchers document the profitability of momentum-based trading strategies, most of them focus on developed

(matured) markets.¹ The Chinese stock markets were first established in the early 1990's and have since been rapidly growing in terms of the number of traded companies, trading volume and market capitalization. Over the past decade, the performance of the Chinese stocks may be characterized as high returns and excessive volatility, as compared to stocks traded in more matured markets, such as the United States. It is of particular interest to investigate whether these two anomalies, momentum and mean reversion, which are first documented for the U.S., also exist in this young emerging market. Second, most previous researchers study momentum and mean reversion separately. In a recent paper, Balvers and Wu (2003) demonstrate that mean reversion and momentum can simultaneously occur to the same set of assets and that it is important to consider the interaction between the two. Using data for equity market indexes for 18 developed countries, they show that mean reversion and momentum are in fact negatively correlated. Furthermore, controlling for mean reversion extends the duration of momentum and combining mean reversion increases the speed of reversion. Following Balvers and Wu (2003), this paper adapts a simple time-series model to capture both the short-term and long-term dynamics of Chinese stock prices in a unified framework. Pure mean reversion and pure momentum can be treated as special cases that are nicely nested in the general specification. We use the model to study the relative importance of momentum and mean reversion and the profitability of the associated investment strategies in Chinese stock markets.

To summarize the result at the outset, using daily data for all "A" share stocks traded at the Shanghai Stock Exchange (SHSE) from its inception (December 12, 1990) to December 31, 2001, we find the pure momentum strategy of Jegadeesh and Titman (1993) in general does not produce significant excess returns for the arbitrage portfolio of buying the top decile portfolio and short

¹ Exceptions are Griffin, Ji and Martin (2003), and Chan Hameed and Tong (2000), who include some emerging markets in their samples.

selling the bottom decile portfolio, for the cases of sorting the stocks based on prior 3-12 months returns and holding the stocks for 3-12 months. On the other hand, we find mean reversion to be more important. A pure contrarian strategy yields positive excess returns for the arbitrage portfolio for all relevant holding periods, and it beats the pure momentum strategy for 40 out of 42 cases considered. The excess returns are statistically significant at the 5% level for holding periods of 6-12 months. Adding momentum to mean reversion greatly improves the performance of the arbitrage portfolio. In particular, the combined strategy outperforms the pure momentum strategy for all relevant cases (3-12 months ranking and 3-12 months holding periods) originally studied by Jegadeesh and Titman (1993). Our baseline case (12-month ranking and 12-month holding) produces an annualized excess return of 22.2%, which is statistically significant at the 5% level. The arbitrage portfolio has a positive loading on the market risk factor, but the beta risk in general explains less than half of the excess returns. The findings for the “A” share stocks traded at the Shenzhen Stock Exchange (SZSE) are even stronger.

The paper proceeds as follows. Section I spells out the model and discusses estimation issues. Section II describes the data and presents some summary statistics of the data. Results on pure momentum strategies are displayed in Section III. Section IV reports the results from the pure contrarian strategy and the combined strategy of momentum with mean reversion. Section V conducts a number of robustness checks and the final section concludes the paper.

I. A Parametric Model Combining Momentum with Mean Reversion

Following Fama and French (1988) and Summers (1986), we decompose the price of a stock into two components: one permanent and one transitory. The permanent component can be interpreted as the fundamental value of the stock while the transitory component can be viewed as a temporary deviation of actual stock price from its market fundamentals. This temporary deviation

from fundamentals is firm specific and can be interpreted as noises or fads. Because in the long run, the price of an asset is ultimately determined by its fundamentals, a deviation from fundamentals should be self-correcting, i.e. it should be mean reverting. However, over the short horizons, temporary deviations can have positive feedbacks so that returns may exhibit momentum.

Specifically, let p_t^i denote the logarithm of stock price with dividends reinvested for company i , so that its first difference $\Delta p_t^i = p_t^i - p_{t-1}^i$ represents the continuously compounded return. We decompose p_t^i as follows:

$$p_t^i = y_t^i + x_t^i \quad (1)$$

where y_t^i represents the permanent component and x_t^i represents the temporary component.

It is apparent that neither x_t^i nor y_t^i is directly observed. By imposing some restrictions, it is possible to put the above model in a state-space format and to estimate the two unobservable components through the Kalman filter. However, this will significantly increase the computational burden. We instead use the value-weighted market index as a proxy for the permanent component. With this assumption, the temporary component is simply the difference between the log of stock i 's price and the log of the value-weighted market index price and the model can be easily estimated using simple linear regressions.

The temporary component x_t^i is assumed to possess short-term momentum and long-term mean reversion as follows:

$$x_t^i = (1 - \delta^i) \mu^i + \delta^i x_{t-1}^i + \sum_{j=1}^J \phi_j^i \Delta x_{t-j}^i + \eta_t^i. \quad (2)$$

In equation (2), if $\delta^i < 1$, x_t^i has mean reversion. It converges to its unconditional mean μ^i with the speed of $(1 - \delta^i)$. The J lagged terms Δx_{t-j}^i are to capture the short-term feedback effects and if $\phi_j^i > 0$ represent return momentum. The error term η_t^i is assumed to be a white noise and to be uncorrelated with the regressors. Since we use the market index to proxy the fundamental/permanent

component, it is easy to see that the pure mean reversion model and the pure momentum model can be nicely nested into this general model. For example, setting $\phi_j^i = 0$, equation (2) becomes the pure mean reversion model, considered by Balvers, Wu and Gilliland (2000). On the other hand, by constraining $\delta^i = 1$ and $\phi_j^i = 1$ for all j , we obtain the pure momentum case of Jegadeesh and Titman (1993).

II. Data and Summary Statistics

All stock and market index prices are obtained from the *China Stock Markets and Accounting Research Database*, published by Guotaian Information Technology, Ltd. We collect daily individual stock prices (with dividends reinvested) for all 637 “A” share stocks traded at the SHSE.² The sample started on December 12, 1990 and ended on December 31, 2001, with 2732 daily return observations. Daily returns on the value-weighted and equally-weighted market portfolios for the SHSE are also obtained from the same source. The data for SZSE started on July 3, 1991 and ended on December 31, 2001 with 2615 returns observations for 503 “A” share stocks. The value-weighted and equally-weighted market indexes for the SZSE are also collected. We proxy the risk-free rate by the short-term bank interest rate obtained from IMF’s *International Financial Statistics* (line 92460ZF). The interest rate data is monthly at source and is interpolated into the daily frequency.

Table I presents some summary statistics of daily stock returns of the two data sets. They include cross-sectional distributions for the mean return, standard deviation, Sharpe ratio, sample size, market capitalization and market beta for the individual stocks traded in both markets. The

² There are two types of shares traded at the Chinese stock markets: “A” shares and “B” shares. “A” shares are quoted in the domestic currency unit (RMB) and are traded only by domestic Chinese citizens, while “B” shares are quoted in U.S. dollar terms and can be traded only by foreigners.

corresponding statistics for the value-weighted and equally-weighted market indexes are also reported. There are in general large cross-sectional variations in these statistics. Among the 637 stocks traded at the SHSE, the mean daily return rate ranges from -0.91% to 0.34%, with the median of 0.08%. Over the full sample period, the mean daily return for the value-weighted average of the Shanghai market is 0.15%, and for equally-weighted average is 0.23%. The cross-sectional dispersion for the standard deviation for these stocks is even bigger, ranging from 1.45% to 10.46% with a median of 2.67%, while the value-weighted and equally-weighted market indexes have standard deviations of 3.43% and 3.90%, respectively. Over the same sample period, the mean daily return on the value-weighted New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) index is 0.056%, and its daily standard deviation is 0.85%, while the corresponding numbers for the equally-weighted NYSE Index are 0.064% and 0.62% (not reported in the table). These numbers indicate that the daily mean returns of the SHSE market indexes are about 3 times as large as the NYSE, but the standard deviations are around 4-6 times as large as the NYSE. Results reported in the lower panel of Table I for stocks traded at the SZSE tell a similar story. Overall, Chinese stocks over the last decade can be characterized as high return and excessive volatility.

III. Profitability of Pure Momentum Strategies

In this section, we report evidence on the profitability of pure momentum trading strategies. As demonstrated in Section II, the pure momentum model can be treated as a special case of our flexible parametric model (2) by setting $\delta^i = 1$ and $\phi_j^i = 1$ for all j . We consider various combinations of ranking periods (J) and holding periods (K). In addition to those combinations ($J, K=3, 6, 9, 12$ months) originally investigated by Jegadeesh and Titman (1993), we add the cases of 1-week ranking period and 1-day and 1-week holding periods. Our experiment follows Jegadeesh

and Titman (1993). At the beginning of each period t , all stocks are ranked in ascending order on the basis of their returns in the past J periods. We then form ten decile portfolios, each of which is an equal weighted average of all stocks contained in that decile. The top portfolio is denoted by “Max” and the bottom portfolio is denoted by “Min.” We follow Jegadeesh and Titman (1993) to examine portfolios with overlapping holding periods. In order to make the results from pure momentum strategies comparable to those from the combined momentum and mean reversion strategies to be presented in the next section, we start forming our momentum portfolio at 1/3 of the sample (on July 15, 1994). The first 1/3 of the sample is needed to obtain reasonably accurate estimates of the model parameters for our combined strategies.

Table II reports the results on the performance of pure momentum trading strategies for all “A” share stocks traded at the SHSE. We report the mean return of the top decile portfolio (“Max”), the excess return of the top decile portfolio over the bottom decile portfolio (“Max-Min”), the excess return of “Max” over the value-weighted market portfolio (“Max-vw mkt”), and the excess return of “Max” over the equally-weighted market portfolio (“Max-ew mkt”). All return measures are annualized. The corresponding t -ratio for each trading strategy is also presented. For the “Max-Min” strategies, t -ratios in bold face and italicized denote statistical significance at the 10% level or better using a two-sided test.

Overall, these results are rather mixed concerning whether momentum profitability exists. There are altogether 42 different combinations of (J,K) , among which 15 cases have negative excess returns on the momentum strategy (“Max-Min”). Furthermore, using a two-sided test, we find that three of these 15 cases are significantly negative at the 5% level ($J=1$ week, $K=1$ day; $J=1$ week, $K=1$ week; and $J=3$ months, $K=1$ day), and two of them are significantly negative at the 10% level ($J=9$ months, $K=1$ day; and $J=12$ months, $K=1$ day). Of those cases which have positive excess returns,

only two are significant at the 5% level (J=1 week, K=12 months; and J=3 months, K= 12 months), and three are significant at the 10% level (J=1 week, K=9 months; J=3 month, K=9 months; and J=1 months, K=12 months). Interestingly, for the cases that Jegadeesh and Titman (1993) and others find momentum to be the strongest (namely J, k=6 months; and J, K=9 months), we do not find the excess returns to be significant, albeit the point estimates of returns are both positive. While the momentum portfolio in general does not produce significant excess returns in the vast majority of cases, the top decile portfolio does yield a higher return than the value-weighted market portfolio in many cases when the ranking period is shorter than 6 months, and the top decile portfolio significantly beats the value-weighted market portfolio at the 10% or better in 5 out of 7 cases when J=1 week. These results suggest that the momentum strategy seems to pick the winning stocks more accurately than to pick the losing stocks.

The evidence against a pure momentum strategy from stocks traded at the SZSE is stronger, as can be seen from Table III. First, of the 42 cases investigated, 30 have negative excess returns for the momentum portfolio. Six of these excess returns are significantly negative at the 5% level (J=1 week, K=1 week; J=3 months, K=1 day; J=3 months, K=1 week; J=9 months, K=1 day; J=9 months, K=1 week; and J=12 months, K=1 day), and two of them significant at the 10% level (J=3 months, K=1 months; and J=6 months, K=1 day). For the 12 cases that produce positive excess returns, none of them are significant at the 10% level. Furthermore, we find the excess returns to be negative for the two cases (J, K = 6 months and J, K = 9 months) where previous researchers find momentum to be the strongest in other markets. Similar to the Shanghai market, the winning decile portfolio yields a higher return than the value-weighted market portfolio in a number of cases when the ranking periods are relatively short.

In summary, while the extensive literature reports that momentum is pervasive and

widespread across equity markets and time periods, the results reported in this section do not by themselves make a strong case for the profitability of pure momentum investment strategies in the Chinese equity markets. These results are consistent with Griffin, Ji and Martin (2003) who use a smaller sample of Chinese stocks (253 stocks from July 1994 to December 2000) and report that the excess return for the momentum strategy of (J, K = 6 months) is close to zero.

IV. The Profitability of the Combined Strategies

If equity prices also display long-term mean reversion, then the mean reversal effect can interfere with short-term momentum. In this case, estimation of momentum without controlling for mean reversion will be distorted, rendering the pure momentum strategy unprofitable, even if momentum does indeed exist. We suspect this may be the case for Chinese stocks. While it is possible to examine the long-term mean reversion effect within the nonparametric framework of DeBondt and Thaler (1985, 1987), who use a 3-5 years ranking period and 3-5 years holding period to investigate the profitability of a contrarian strategy for U.S. equities, Balvers, Wu and Grilliland (2000) demonstrate that a parsimonious parametric model can be used to better characterize long-term mean reversion and that a trading strategy based on forecast obtained from a rolling regression yields better portfolio returns than the nonparametric approach. It is this parametric rolling-regression approach that we adapt here to examine the profitability of contrarian and combined strategies.

Starting at 1/3 of the sample, we use rolling regression parameter estimates of equation (2) to forecast the expected return for the upcoming period for each stock. We then rank all stocks in ascending order according to their expected returns for the upcoming period. We buy 10% of the stocks with the highest expected returns and short sell 10% of the stocks with the lowest expected

returns, based on equation (2) and using parameters estimated from prior data only.

We first examine the case of pure mean reversion. This is done by setting all momentum parameters $\phi_j^i = 0$ in equation (2), leaving μ^i and δ^i the only parameters to estimate. The top panel of Table IV reports the performance of the pure contrarian strategy for SHSE stocks, from which several observations can be made. First, the pure mean reversion strategy produces a positive excess return for all holding periods. Furthermore, the excess returns are statistically significant at the 5% level for $K=6, 9,$ and 12 months, and at the 10% level for $K=3$ months. Second, these return measures are economically important ranging from 3.1% to 22.8% per year, with the average of 11.6% per year. Third, the top decile portfolio “Max” beats the value-weighted market portfolio at the 5% significance level for all holding horizons. The return of the top decile portfolio is also higher than the equally-weighted market return for all holding periods except $K=1$ month. Fourth, compared to the results of the pure momentum strategy in Table II, for each holding period K , the pure mean reversion strategy in general produces higher excess profits than the corresponding pure momentum strategy regardless of the number of momentum lags used. The only exceptions are the cases of $J=1$ week and 1 month, and $K=1$ month, where the pure momentum strategy yields slightly higher returns than the pure mean reversion strategy.

The top panel of Table V displays the performance of pure mean reversion strategy for the SZSE stocks. While the excess return of the contrarian strategy is statistically significant at the 10% level in only one case ($K=3$ months), these excess return numbers are in general economically large with the average annual excess return of 8.69% across the 7 holding periods. Furthermore, the top decile portfolio beats the value-weighted market portfolio at the 5% significance level, and the equally-weighted average market index (albeit not statistically significant) for all holding periods. More impressively, at each holding horizon, the pure mean reversion strategy outperforms all pure

momentum strategies.

The above results suggest that mean reversion exists and may indeed be stronger than momentum in Chinese stocks. We next investigate whether accounting for mean reversion and momentum simultaneously can further improve the performance of the trading strategy. To this end, we estimate model (2) with momentum terms added. To increase estimation efficiency, we constrain the momentum parameters to be the same, i.e. we set $\phi_j^i = \phi^i$ for all lags j . Similar to the previous experiment, we start the forecast period at 1/3 of the sample on July 15, 1994 and update parameter estimates as we roll the sample forward.

Panels 2 to 7 of Table IV show the results from the combined mean reversion with momentum strategy for the SHSE stocks. The momentum lags selected are the same as those in the pure momentum cases discussed in Section III above. Several comments are noteworthy. First, the excess returns of the trading strategy (“Max-Min”) are positive in all 42 cases, regardless of the number of momentum lags selected and the length of holding periods used. Furthermore, 13 cases are statistically significant at the 5% level or better and 10 additional cases are significant at the 10% level (all in bold face and italicized). Second, these figures are in sharp contrast with those from pure momentum trading strategies reported in Table II. A comparison case by case reveals that the excess profitability from our combined strategy with mean reversion is higher than the pure momentum strategy in all but three cases (J=1 week, K=1 months; and J=1 month, K=1 week; and J=1 month, K=1 month). These results indicate the important role played by the mean reversion factor. Third, the top decile portfolio generates higher returns than the value-weighted market index in all 42 cases, and than the equally-weighted average index in 26 out of 42 cases. Furthermore, in 35 out of 42 cases, the top decile portfolio beats the value-weighted market portfolio at the 5% significance level. Fourth, compared with the pure mean reversion case, we find that the excess returns of the combined

strategy are higher in numerous cases especially when the momentum lag is long. For each holding period (K), we average the excess returns of the combined strategy across six different momentum lags. This yields the average returns of 11.61%, 5.36%, 6.57%, 9.76%, 14.50%, 18.86% and 21.76%, for K=1 week up to 12 months, respectively, for the combined strategy. Five out of seven are higher than the corresponding figures from the pure mean reversion strategy. This simple comparison justifies the benefits of adding momentum into the mean reversion model.

Panels 2 to 7 of Table V report the results of portfolio performance for the combined trading strategy for the SZSE stocks. Overall, these results are stronger than those from the SHSE stocks. First, the combined strategy yields positive excess returns (“Max-Min”) for all ranking and holding periods. Of the 42 cases examined, the excess return is statistically significant at the 5% level for 19 cases, and at the 10% level for an additional 9 cases. Second, compared to the pure momentum case in Table III, the strategy combining momentum with mean reversion produces higher excess returns for all ranking and holding periods. Third, to make a comparison with the pure mean reversion strategy, for each holding period (K) we compute the average excess return of the combined strategy over all ranking periods (J). This yields the average excess return figures of 20.85%, 14.87%, 13.68%, 15.43%, 13.00%, 14.07%, and 14.55% for the holding periods K=1 day up to 12 months, respectively. Quite strikingly, each of these numbers is higher by a substantial margin than the corresponding one for the pure mean reversion case. Fourth, most impressively, the top decile portfolio generates a higher return than both the value-weighted and equally-weighted market portfolios regardless of ranking and holding periods. Furthermore, the top decile portfolio beats the value-weighted market index at the 5% significance level in all 42 cases.

In sum, the evidence presented in this section suggests that Chinese stocks exhibits strong mean reversion, and mean reversion can indeed be more important than momentum. The existence

of mean reversion may interfere with short-term momentum and it is necessary to control for mean reversion when estimating the duration and impact of momentum. A strategy combining momentum and mean reversion in a unified framework produces higher returns than the pure mean reversion strategy which in turn outperforms the pure momentum strategy.

V. Robustness of Results

The previous section documents the success of the simple two-component time series model of stock prices, which combines short-term momentum and long-term mean reversion in equity returns. In this section, we conduct a number of robustness checks for the model. We take as the baseline case of the combination strategy with mean reversion and 12-month momentum and 12-month holding period, and do a number of experiments on this baseline model.

First, Jegadeesh (1990) and Lehmann (1990) report that for very short ranking and holding period, reversion rather than momentum is observed in U.S. equity market. These authors argue that this phenomenon could be caused by bid-ask bounce and/or infrequent trading. Other authors (e.g. Berk, Green and Naik (1999)) suggest extreme returns signaling changes in systematic risks as a possible explanation. Accordingly, researchers suggest skipping one period between the portfolio ranking and holding periods. In our case, we form the strategy portfolio one day after the stocks are ranked.

Second, the results reported so far are all based on sorting stocks into 10 decile portfolios. While this is common practice for studies using U.S. data, we acknowledge that the total number of stocks in the Chinese markets is far smaller than in the U.S. markets, and each decile may contain too few stocks especially in the early part of the sample. We therefore consider sorting stocks into 3 and 5 equal-sized portfolios and study the excess return of buying the top portfolio and shorting

the bottom portfolio. We also sort the stocks into 20 equal-sized portfolios and document the excess return of the top-bottom portfolio to see whether certain outliers in the extreme portfolios can significantly affect our results.

Third, we check how systematic market risk and transactions costs affect our strategy returns.

Table VI reports these results for the SHSE stocks where the baseline case is replicated here for easy of comparison. Our baseline case produces a 22.2% annualized excess return which is significant at the 5% level. This portfolio does load positively on the market risk factor (with $\beta = 0.497$). Correcting the risk premium due to the positive factor loading, we find the risk-adjusted excess return (the alpha) to be 11.2%. Therefore, market risk accounts for nearly 50% of the excess return. This is in stark contrast with previous studies using data from other markets, such as Jegadeesh and Titman (1993), Grundy and Martin (2001), Chordia and Shivakumar (2002), Chan, Jegadeesh and Lakonishok (1996), Balvers, Wu and Grilliland (2000), and Rouwenhorst (1998). These authors report that the simple market beta risk explains virtually nothing of the excess return, and in many cases the excess return has a negative market factor loading. Our top decile portfolio produces a higher Sharpe ratio than the value-weighted market portfolio but a lower Sharpe ratio than the equally-weighted market index. Our strategy involves an average portfolio turnover rate of 88% per year, a relatively low number. Apparently, a reasonable transactions cost per trade, say 1-2%, will only reduce a small portion of the total excess return. Therefore, transactions cost itself does not provide an obvious explanation of the excess profitability.

Skipping one day between the ranking and holding periods slightly reduces the excess return to 20.8%, which is statistically significant at the 10% level. The risk-adjusted return also decreases by the same amount (to 9.8% per year). Therefore, the bid-ask bounce or other micro-structure biases are unlikely to be the important factors affecting our results.

Sorting stocks into 3 portfolios does significantly reduce the excess return. The 11.8% annualized return is now statistically insignificant. Furthermore, the risk-adjusted return becomes a much smaller 4.9%. However, sorting stocks into 5 portfolios produces an excess return of 17.4%, which is significant at the 10% level, and a risk-adjusted return of 9.0%, similar to the baseline case. Finally, a much finer sort of stocks into 20 portfolios dramatically increases the excess return to 35.2%, which is significant at the 1% level, with a large risk-adjusted return of 20.0%. These results accord well with intuition and demonstrate that our simple two-component model combining momentum and mean reversion characterizes the dynamics of Chinese stock returns reasonably well.

Table VII reports the results for robustness checks for the SZSE stocks. These results are qualitatively similar to those for the SHSE stocks and in general stronger quantitatively. In particular, we find that the beta risk in general explains a smaller proportion of excess returns than for the SHSE stocks.

VI. Summary and Conclusions

The purpose of this paper has been to investigate whether momentum and/or mean reversion exists in the Chinese stock markets. While the vast majority of the literature reports momentum profitability to be overwhelming in U.S. equity market, and widespread in other countries, we find that the pure momentum strategy produces quite weak and in some cases even negative excess profitability in the Chinese stock markets. This is especially the case for the intermediate-term sorting and holding periods (6-9 months), which many researchers find momentum to be the strongest.

On the other hand, we find strong mean reversion in the Chinese stock markets. A pure parametric contrarian investment strategy produces positive excess returns for all holding periods

and the pure contrarian strategy in general outperforms the pure momentum strategy. The existence of mean reversion does not by itself preclude short-term momentum. Instead, we find momentum interacts with mean reversion. A two-component model for stock price provides a parsimonious characterization of these two effects and their interactions. A strategy based on the rolling-regression parameter estimates from the model generates positive excess returns in all cases, most of which statistically significant. This combined strategy in general outperforms both the pure momentum strategy and the pure mean reversion strategy. The strategy loads positively on the market risk factor, but the beta risk explains only a relatively small part of the excess return. Nor is transactions cost a dominant factor explaining the excess profitability.

Future research needs to investigate the sources of excess profitability and to seek plausible explanations for the abnormal returns. In particular, can the excess returns be explained by a rational asset pricing model, or are they primarily caused by some kinds of behavioral biases, as advocated by Daniel, Hirshleifer, and Subrahmanyam (1998), Barberis, Shleifer, and Vishny (1998), and Hong and Stein (1999). We are currently working towards that direction.

References

- Balvers, Ronald J., Yangru Wu, and Erik Gilliland, 2000, Mean reversion across national stock markets and parametric contrarian investment strategies, *Journal of Finance* 55, 745-772.
- Balvers, Ronald J., Yangru Wu, 2003, Momentum and Mean reversion across national equity markets, *mimeo*, Rutgers University.
- Barberis, Nicholas, Andrei Shleifer, and Robert Vishny, 1998, A model of investor sentiment, *Journal of Financial Economics* 49, 307-343.
- Berk, Jonathan B., Richard C. Green, and Vasant Naik, 1999, Optimal investment, growth options, and security returns, *Journal of Finance* 54, 1553-1607.
- Chan, Louis K. C., Narasimhan Jegadeesh, and Josef Lakonishok, 1996, Momentum strategies, *Journal of Finance* 51, 1681-1713.
- Chan, Kalok, Allaudeen Hameed, and Wilson Tong, 2000, Profitability of momentum strategies in the international equity markets, *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 35, 153-172.
- China Stock Markets and Accounting Research Database, 2002, Guotaian Information Technology Co., Ltd, Shenzhen, China.
- Chordia, Tarun, and Lakshmanan Shivakumar, 2002, Momentum, business cycle, and time-varying expected returns, Forthcoming *Journal of Finance*.
- Chopra, Navin, Josef Lakonishok, and Jay R. Ritter, 1992, Measuring abnormal performance: Do stocks overreact?, *Journal of Financial Economics* 31, 235-268.
- Conrad, Jenifer, and Gautam Kaul, 1998, An anatomy of trading strategies, *Review of Financial Studies* 11, 489-519.
- DeBondt, Werner, and Richard Thaler, 1985, Does the stock market overreact?, *Journal of Finance* 40, 793-805.
- DeBondt, Werner, and Richard Thaler, 1987, Further evidence of overreaction and stock market seasonality, *Journal of Finance* 42, 557-581.
- DeLong, J. Bradford, Andrei Shleifer, Lawrence H. Summers, and Robert J. Waldmann, 1990, Positive feedback investment strategies and destabilizing rational speculation, *Journal of Finance* 45, 379-395.
- Fama, Eugene, and Kenneth French, 1988, Permanent and temporary components of stock prices, *Journal of Political Economy* 96, 246-273.
- French, Kenneth, and James M. Poterba, 1991, Investor diversification and international equity markets, *American Economic Review* 81, 222-226.
- Griffin, John M., Susan Ji, and Spencer Martin, 2003, Momentum investing and business cycle risk: evidence from pole to pole, *Journal of Finance*, forthcoming.
- Grundy, Bruce and J. Spencer Martin, 2001, Understanding the nature and the risks and the sources

- of the rewards to momentum investing, *Review of Financial Studies* 14, 29-78.
- Hong, Harrison, and Jeremy C. Stein, 1999, A unified theory of underreaction, momentum trading, and overreaction in asset markets, *Journal of Finance* 54, 2143-2184.
- Jegadeesh, Narasimhan, 1990, Evidence of predictable behavior of security returns, *Journal of Finance* 45, 881-898.
- Jegadeesh, Narasimhan, and Sheridan Titman, 1993, Returns to buying winners and selling losers: Implications for stock market efficiency, *Journal of Finance* 48, 65-91.
- Jegadeesh, Narasimhan, and Sheridan Titman, 2001, Profitability of momentum strategies: an evaluation of alternative explanations, *Journal of Finance* 56, 699-720.
- Lehmann, Bruce, 1990, Fads, martingales and market efficiency, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 105, 1-28.
- Lo, Andrew W., and A. Craig MacKinlay, 1988, Stock market prices do not follow random walks: Evidence from a simple specification test, *Review of Financial Studies* 1, 41-66.
- Lo, Andrew W., and A. Craig MacKinlay, 1990, When are contrarian profits due to stock market overreaction? *Review of Financial Studies* 3, 175-208.
- Patro, Dilip K. and Yangru Wu, 2003, Predictability of short-horizon equity returns in international equity markets, *Journal of Empirical Finance*, forthcoming.
- Poterba, James, and Lawrence Summers, 1988, Mean reversion in stock prices: Evidence and implications, *Journal of Financial Economics* 22, 27-59.
- Richards, Anthony J., 1997, Winner-loser reversals in national stock market indices: Can they be explained?, *Journal of Finance* 52, 2129-2144.
- Rouwenhorst, K. Geert, 1998, International momentum strategies, *Journal of Finance* 53, 267-284.
- Summers, Lawrence H., 1986, Does the stock market rationally reflect fundamental values? *Journal of Finance*, 41, 591-601.

Table I Summary Statistics of Chinese Daily Stock Returns

This table reports summary statistics for daily stock returns for Chinese “A” shares traded in Shanghai and Shenzhen Stock Exchanges. The sample covers the period from December 12, 1990 to December 31, 2001 for 637 stocks traded at the Shanghai Stock Exchange; and the period from July 3, 1991 to December 31, 2001 for 503 stocks traded at the Shenzhen Stock Exchange. Market capitalization figures are for the last traded month of the sample and are denominated in Chinese currency unit RMB.

	cross-section average	cross-section std. dev.	Minimum	25 percentile	50 percentile	75 percentile	Maximum	value-weighted market index	equally-weighted mkt index
Shanghai Market									
mean return (%)	0.0524	0.1104	-0.9056	0.0178	0.0779	0.1105	0.3397	0.1524	0.2282
std. dev (%)	2.8258	0.8095	1.4460	2.2990	2.6730	3.3000	10.4600	3.4280	3.9030
Sharpe ratiox100	0.8305	4.2936	-35.9800	0.0869	1.9290	2.8120	12.8700	3.6400	5.1390
no. of obs	1130	699	13	454	1116	1873	2732	2732	2732
mkt capitalization	4.648E+06	1.286E+07	7.171E+05	2.036E+06	2.814E+06	4.288E+06	2.991E+08	2.851E+09	2.851E+09
Beta	1.026	0.156	0.518	0.938	1.019	1.105	2.167		
Shenzhen Market									
mean return (%)	0.0685	0.0649	-0.1777	0.0327	0.0785	0.1108	0.2439	0.1164	0.1395
Std dev (%)	2.8707	0.5879	1.5910	2.4570	2.7670	3.2650	5.5240	2.8990	2.9890
Sharpe ratiox100	1.5266	2.3615	-9.1650	0.6277	1.8490	2.8400	8.7990	3.0640	3.7470
No. of obs	1216	553	231	853	1171	1466	2615	2615	2615
mkt capitalization	3.242E+06	2.641E+06	5.731E+05	1.802E+06	2.472E+06	3.636E+06	2.641E+07	1.620E+09	1.620E+09
Beta	1.013	0.115	0.487	0.941	1.019	1.093	1.413		

Table II Performance of Pure Momentum Portfolio Switching Strategies: Shanghai “A” Shares

This table reports the mean returns (annualized) and t-ratios of Max, Min, Max-Min, Max-vw Market, and Max-ew market portfolios, where Max is the top decile portfolio, Min is the bottom decile portfolio, and vw Market and ew Market are the value-weighted and equally-weighted averages of all “A” shares traded at the Shanghai Stock Exchange. The strategies considered are pure momentum strategies described in Jegadeesh and Titiman (1993). J denotes the number of momentum lags, and K denotes the holding period. The sample covers the period from December 12, 1990 to December 31, 2001 with 2732 daily returns observations and 637 stocks. Forecasting starts on July 15, 1994 and ends on December 12, 2001 with 1823 trading days. Numbers italicized and in bold face denote statistical significance at the 10% level or better using a 2-sided test.

	K=1 day		K=1 week		K=1 month		K=3 month		K=6 months		K=9 months		K=12 months	
	Mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio
J=1 week														
Max	0.332	1.888	0.214	1.268	0.359	2.211	0.337	2.094	0.337	2.108	0.339	2.124	0.346	2.161
Max-min	-0.264	-2.594	-0.239	-3.179	0.048	1.044	0.031	0.633	0.081	1.271	0.126	1.714	0.161	1.985
Max-vw mkt	0.044	0.671	-0.074	-1.483	0.071	1.971	0.049	1.718	0.049	1.910	0.051	2.059	0.058	2.341
Max-ew mkt	-0.037	-0.572	-0.156	-3.083	-0.011	-0.308	-0.033	-1.266	-0.032	-1.598	-0.030	-1.570	-0.024	-1.293
J=1 month														
Max	0.383	2.224	0.376	2.253	0.373	2.266	0.306	1.906	0.314	1.980	0.308	1.947	0.318	2.008
Max-min	-0.085	-0.858	0.028	0.320	0.054	0.755	0.007	0.120	0.080	1.204	0.101	1.336	0.139	1.717
Max-vw mkt	0.095	1.508	0.088	1.520	0.085	1.738	0.018	0.487	0.026	0.857	0.020	0.712	0.030	1.080
Max-ew mkt	0.014	0.210	0.007	0.120	0.004	0.070	-0.063	-1.742	-0.055	-1.967	-0.061	-2.347	-0.051	-2.100
J=3 months														
Max	0.367	2.202	0.340	2.070	0.311	1.920	0.294	1.855	0.292	1.868	0.293	1.874	0.293	1.876
Max-min	-0.215	-2.212	-0.083	-0.915	0.011	0.128	0.057	0.668	0.118	1.356	0.157	1.694	0.197	2.024
Max-vw mkt	0.079	1.298	0.052	0.890	0.022	0.428	0.006	0.132	0.004	0.122	0.005	0.150	0.005	0.153
Max-ew mkt	-0.003	-0.042	-0.029	-0.481	-0.059	-1.062	-0.076	-1.724	-0.077	-2.197	-0.077	-2.374	-0.077	-2.539
J=6 months														
Max	0.329	1.981	0.315	1.936	0.307	1.920	0.279	1.774	0.265	1.686	0.261	1.661	0.254	1.613
Max-min	-0.137	-1.409	-0.080	-0.868	-0.011	-0.124	-0.014	-0.155	0.028	0.289	0.082	0.783	0.088	0.821
Max-vw mkt	0.041	0.704	0.027	0.487	0.019	0.363	-0.009	-0.197	-0.023	-0.590	-0.027	-0.763	-0.034	-1.027
Max-ew mkt	0.040	-0.652	-0.054	-0.917	-0.063	-1.153	-0.090	-1.926	-0.104	-2.576	-0.108	-2.935	-0.116	-3.401
J=9 months														
Max	0.265	1.629	0.265	1.641	0.241	1.493	0.228	1.424	0.238	1.499	0.235	1.482	0.233	1.468
Max-min	-0.184	-1.896	-0.068	-0.754	-0.023	-0.240	0.011	0.104	0.065	0.589	0.090	0.806	0.112	0.978
Max-vw mkt	-0.023	-0.395	-0.023	-0.396	-0.047	-0.856	-0.060	-1.253	-0.050	-1.147	-0.053	-1.303	-0.055	-1.405
Max-ew mkt	-0.104	-1.663	-0.104	-1.684	-0.128	-2.192	-0.142	-2.754	-0.131	-2.855	-0.134	-3.143	-0.136	-3.404
J=12 months														
Max	0.238	1.391	0.236	1.387	0.232	1.371	0.234	1.395	0.235	1.411	0.239	1.432	0.229	1.366
Max-min	-0.190	-1.755	-0.137	-1.302	-0.047	-0.443	0.029	0.250	0.078	0.657	0.115	0.953	0.130	1.053
Max-vw mkt	-0.050	-0.812	-0.052	-0.869	-0.056	-0.969	-0.055	-1.025	-0.053	-1.083	-0.049	-1.042	-0.059	-1.298
Max-ew mkt	-0.131	-2.033	-0.133	-2.121	-0.138	-2.275	-0.136	-2.489	-0.134	-2.719	-0.130	-2.812	-0.140	-3.201

Table III Performance of Pure Momentum Portfolio Switching Strategies: Shanzhen “A” Shares

This table reports the mean returns (annualized) and t-ratios of Max, Min, Max-Min, Max-vw Market, and Max-ew market portfolios, where Max is the top decile portfolio, Min is the bottom decile portfolio, and vw Market and ew Market are the value-weighted and equally-weighted averages of all “A” shares traded at the Shanzhen Stock Exchange. The strategies considered are pure momentum strategies described in Jegadeesh and Titiman (1993). J denotes the number of momentum lags, and K denotes the holding period. The sample covers the period from July 3, 1991 to December 31, 2001 with 2615 daily returns observations and 503 stocks. Forecasting starts on July 15, 1994 and ends on December 12, 2001 with 1816 trading days. Numbers italicized and in bold face denote statistical significance at the 10% level or better using a 2-sided test.

	K=1 day		K=1 week		K=1 month		K=3 months		K=6 months		K=9 months		K=12 months	
	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio
J=1 week														
Max	0.410	2.259	0.287	1.667	0.373	2.290	0.360	2.235	0.364	2.273	0.365	2.283	0.373	2.323
Max-min	-0.174	-1.501	-0.161	-1.964	0.057	1.112	0.077	1.267	0.039	0.568	0.036	0.483	0.072	0.946
Max-vw mkt	0.108	1.445	-0.015	-0.262	0.072	1.898	0.059	2.166	0.062	2.506	0.064	2.581	0.071	2.844
Max-ew mkt	0.021	0.286	-0.102	-1.864	-0.016	-0.451	-0.029	-1.271	-0.025	-1.382	-0.023	-1.382	-0.016	-0.986
J=1 month														
Max	0.434	2.586	0.424	2.571	0.391	2.427	0.348	2.203	0.356	2.276	0.346	2.214	0.351	2.246
Max-min	-0.106	-0.984	0.036	0.394	0.062	0.883	0.017	0.247	-0.012	-0.161	0.007	0.092	0.039	0.488
Max-vw mkt	0.132	1.988	0.123	2.023	0.090	1.833	0.047	1.325	0.054	1.773	0.044	1.505	0.049	1.719
Max-ew mkt	0.045	0.668	0.035	0.574	0.002	0.043	-0.041	-1.133	-0.033	-1.104	-0.043	-1.530	-0.038	-1.416
J=3 months														
Max	0.346	2.077	0.333	2.029	0.341	2.116	0.341	2.207	0.334	2.181	0.323	2.116	0.320	2.096
Max-min	-0.289	-2.716	-0.226	-2.340	-0.152	-1.718	-0.075	-0.921	-0.036	-0.426	-0.030	-0.340	-0.014	-0.154
Max-vw mkt	0.044	0.659	0.032	0.514	0.039	0.699	0.040	0.919	0.032	0.885	0.021	0.624	0.018	0.567
Max-ew mkt	-0.043	-0.615	-0.055	-0.863	-0.048	-0.830	-0.048	-1.017	-0.055	-1.404	-0.066	-1.814	-0.069	-2.026
J=6 months														
Max	0.368	2.329	0.343	2.207	0.342	2.235	0.334	2.210	0.311	2.083	0.299	2.018	0.286	1.935
Max-min	-0.178	-1.680	-0.144	-1.515	-0.062	-0.693	-0.026	-0.276	-0.025	-0.250	0.023	0.237	0.044	0.437
Max-vw mkt	0.067	1.096	0.042	0.727	0.040	0.751	0.032	0.672	0.010	0.233	-0.003	-0.072	-0.015	-0.409
Max-ew mkt	-0.021	-0.311	-0.046	-0.732	-0.047	-0.799	-0.055	-1.028	-0.077	-1.613	-0.090	-2.016	-0.103	-2.420
J=9 months														
Max	0.327	2.075	0.311	2.028	0.317	2.105	0.273	1.865	0.260	1.797	0.252	1.749	0.261	1.801
Max-min	-0.224	-2.080	-0.217	-2.075	-0.165	-1.544	-0.110	-1.011	-0.046	-0.424	-0.037	-0.331	-0.029	-0.251
Max-vw mkt	0.025	0.388	0.010	0.152	0.016	0.269	-0.029	-0.544	-0.042	-0.868	-0.049	-1.109	-0.041	-0.972
Max-ew mkt	-0.062	-0.865	-0.078	-1.117	-0.071	-1.082	-0.116	-1.928	-0.129	-2.324	-0.137	-2.633	-0.128	-2.604
J=12 months														
Max	0.314	1.971	0.335	2.100	0.323	2.086	0.258	1.738	0.260	1.754	0.265	1.783	0.266	1.782
Max-min	-0.261	-2.250	-0.162	-1.459	-0.102	-0.953	-0.108	-0.984	-0.071	-0.619	-0.053	-0.454	-0.062	-0.515
Max-vw mkt	0.013	0.171	0.033	0.446	0.021	0.322	-0.044	-0.855	-0.042	-0.891	-0.037	-0.822	-0.036	-0.832
Max-ew mkt	-0.075	-0.925	-0.054	-0.685	-0.066	-0.930	-0.131	-2.233	-0.129	-2.385	-0.124	-2.404	-0.123	-2.505

**Table IV Performance of Parametric Portfolio Switching Strategies: Shanghai “A” Shares
Mean Reversion with Momentum**

This table reports the mean returns (annualized) and t-ratios of Max, Min, Max-Min, Max-vw Market, and Max-ew market portfolios, where Max is the top decile portfolio, Min is the bottom decile portfolio, and vw Market and ew Market are the value-weighted and equally-weighted averages of all “A” shares traded at the Shanghai Stock Exchange. The strategies considered are pure mean reversion and mean reversion with momentum. J denotes the number of momentum lags, and K denotes the holding period. The sample covers the period from December 12, 1990 to December 31, 2001 with 2732 daily returns observations and 637 stocks. Forecasting starts on July 15, 1994 and ends on December 12, 2001 with 1823 trading days. Numbers italicized and in bold face denote statistical significance at the 10% level or better using a 2-sided test.

	K=1 day		K=1 week		K=1 month		K=3 months		K=6 months		K=9 months		K=12 months	
	Mean	t-ratio	Mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio
J=0, pure mean reversion														
Max	0.427	2.573	0.374	2.247	0.367	2.198	0.375	2.237	0.370	2.212	0.381	2.273	0.378	2.260
Max-min	0.070	1.200	0.031	0.609	0.045	0.939	0.093	1.766	0.144	2.179	0.201	2.687	0.228	2.813
Max-vw mkt	0.139	3.393	0.086	2.242	0.079	2.130	0.087	2.543	0.082	2.494	0.093	2.866	0.090	2.889
Max-ew mkt	0.058	1.756	0.005	0.167	-0.002	-0.088	0.005	0.219	0.001	0.044	0.011	0.531	0.009	0.416
J=1 week														
Max	0.440	2.644	0.378	2.305	0.367	2.227	0.372	2.248	0.366	2.215	0.374	2.258	0.373	2.251
Max-min	0.130	2.319	0.060	1.299	0.035	0.850	0.069	1.419	0.117	1.857	0.172	2.374	0.204	2.556
max-vw mkt	0.152	3.831	0.090	2.582	0.079	2.422	0.084	2.733	0.078	2.599	0.086	2.880	0.085	2.899
max-ew mkt	0.070	2.205	0.009	0.322	-0.002	-0.077	0.003	0.137	-0.003	-0.150	0.005	0.253	0.003	0.190
J=1 month														
Max	0.430	2.598	0.372	2.248	0.376	2.277	0.374	2.261	0.366	2.223	0.375	2.280	0.377	2.291
Max-min	0.082	1.531	0.010	0.204	0.030	0.661	0.080	1.559	0.134	2.109	0.180	2.460	0.217	2.750
max-vw mkt	0.142	3.521	0.084	2.305	0.088	2.499	0.086	2.564	0.078	2.462	0.087	2.809	0.089	2.942
max-ew mkt	0.061	1.885	0.003	0.093	0.006	0.241	0.004	0.190	-0.003	-0.157	0.006	0.306	0.007	0.377
J=3 months														
Max	0.455	2.767	0.394	2.403	0.385	2.336	0.379	2.295	0.370	2.253	0.361	2.202	0.367	2.238
Max-min	0.113	2.093	0.080	1.530	0.128	1.956	0.166	2.140	0.198	2.240	0.231	2.413	0.277	2.742
max-vw mkt	0.167	4.183	0.106	2.824	0.097	2.752	0.091	2.781	0.082	2.591	0.073	2.319	0.079	2.579
max-ew mkt	0.086	2.665	0.025	0.856	0.016	0.615	0.009	0.415	0.001	0.045	-0.008	-0.395	-0.002	-0.116
J=6 months														
Max	0.455	2.768	0.415	2.521	0.372	2.271	0.363	2.222	0.358	2.187	0.361	2.207	0.364	2.233
Max-min	0.094	1.654	0.060	1.086	0.029	0.512	0.045	0.595	0.090	0.991	0.144	1.435	0.171	1.646
max-vw mkt	0.167	3.998	0.126	3.079	0.084	2.151	0.075	2.077	0.070	1.965	0.073	2.094	0.076	2.248
max-ew mkt	0.085	2.433	0.045	1.324	0.002	0.073	-0.006	-0.211	-0.011	-0.399	-0.009	-0.316	-0.005	-0.187
J=9 months														
Max	0.521	3.027	0.432	2.614	0.403	2.432	0.380	2.284	0.378	2.283	0.365	2.218	0.356	2.164
Max-min	0.190	3.048	0.105	1.675	0.125	1.693	0.125	1.282	0.154	1.469	0.182	1.663	0.204	1.789
max-vw mkt	0.233	4.276	0.144	2.961	0.115	2.430	0.092	2.083	0.090	2.192	0.077	1.913	0.068	1.704
max-ew mkt	0.152	3.220	0.063	1.487	0.034	0.830	0.011	0.284	0.008	0.238	-0.004	-0.126	-0.014	-0.404
J=12 months														
Max	0.500	2.832	0.397	2.353	0.369	2.220	0.343	2.088	0.355	2.170	0.352	2.157	0.338	2.075
Max-min	0.134	1.578	0.029	0.444	0.068	0.939	0.105	1.113	0.173	1.684	0.210	1.946	0.222	1.978
Max-vw mkt	0.212	2.848	0.109	2.004	0.081	1.547	0.055	1.159	0.067	1.489	0.064	1.448	0.050	1.148
Max-ew mkt	0.131	1.897	0.027	0.553	-0.001	-0.012	-0.027	-0.646	-0.015	-0.372	-0.017	-0.445	-0.031	-0.825

**Table V Performance of Parametric Portfolio Switching Strategies: Shenzhen “A” Shares
Mean Reversion with Momentum**

This table reports the mean returns (annualized) and t-ratios of Max, Min, Max-Min, Max-vw Market, and Max-ew market portfolios, where Max is the top decile portfolio, Min is the bottom decile portfolio, and vw Market and ew Market are the value-weighted and equally-weighted averages of all “A” shares traded at the Shenzhen Stock Exchange. The strategies considered are pure mean reversion and mean reversion with momentum. J denotes the number of momentum lags, and K denotes the holding period. The sample covers the period from July 3, 1991 to December 31, 2001 with 2615 daily returns observations and 503 stocks. Forecasting starts on July 15, 1994 and ends on December 12, 2001 with 1816 trading days. Numbers italicized and in bold face denote statistical significance at the 10% level or better using a 2-sided test.

	K=1 day		K=1 week		K=1 month		K=3 month		K=6 months		K=9 months		K=12 months	
	Mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio	mean	t-ratio
J=0, pure mean reversion														
Max	0.474	2.805	0.431	2.585	0.425	2.556	0.434	2.612	0.403	2.435	0.402	2.424	0.397	2.388
Max-min	0.098	1.430	0.058	0.993	0.064	1.133	0.137	1.946	0.087	1.168	0.074	0.957	0.090	1.136
Max-vw mkt	0.173	3.228	0.130	2.877	0.124	3.046	0.132	3.472	0.101	2.737	0.101	2.691	0.096	2.540
Max-ew mkt	0.086	1.757	0.042	1.093	0.036	1.102	0.045	1.621	0.014	0.545	0.013	0.524	0.008	0.338
J=1 week														
Max	0.511	2.963	0.451	2.677	0.428	2.576	0.425	2.580	0.400	2.433	0.399	2.414	0.393	2.370
Max-min	0.138	2.087	0.089	1.655	0.073	1.436	0.120	1.835	0.073	1.000	0.066	0.843	0.086	1.066
max-vw mkt	0.209	3.786	0.149	3.437	0.126	3.250	0.124	3.399	0.099	2.781	0.097	2.697	0.091	2.486
max-ew mkt	0.122	2.446	0.062	1.715	0.039	1.312	0.036	1.457	0.011	0.495	0.010	0.434	0.004	0.169
J=1 month														
Max	0.502	2.988	0.478	2.876	0.467	2.813	0.433	2.640	0.400	2.441	0.397	2.419	0.390	2.371
Max-min	0.171	2.686	0.124	2.205	0.147	2.841	0.115	1.799	0.043	0.579	0.071	0.884	0.088	1.066
max-vw mkt	0.201	4.083	0.177	4.209	0.166	4.280	0.132	3.614	0.098	2.812	0.096	2.667	0.089	2.461
max-ew mkt	0.113	2.574	0.089	2.555	0.078	2.618	0.044	1.725	0.011	0.478	0.008	0.361	0.001	0.059
J=3 months														
Max	0.507	2.938	0.457	2.698	0.423	2.510	0.417	2.511	0.394	2.389	0.396	2.396	0.389	2.352
Max-min	0.155	2.407	0.098	1.769	0.051	0.959	0.060	0.904	0.056	0.703	0.071	0.841	0.080	0.912
max-vw mkt	0.205	3.859	0.155	3.277	0.121	2.737	0.115	2.793	0.093	2.343	0.095	2.350	0.088	2.199
max-ew mkt	0.118	2.628	0.068	1.825	0.034	1.023	0.028	0.918	0.005	0.191	0.008	0.262	0.001	0.021
J=6 months														
Max	0.536	3.019	0.472	2.749	0.454	2.679	0.446	2.664	0.418	2.508	0.413	2.487	0.407	2.451
Max-min	0.198	2.999	0.114	1.978	0.110	1.665	0.127	1.495	0.116	1.203	0.164	1.639	0.183	1.766
Max-vw mkt	0.234	3.780	0.170	3.269	0.152	3.114	0.145	3.087	0.116	2.586	0.111	2.573	0.105	2.501
Max-ew mkt	0.147	2.761	0.083	1.965	0.065	1.682	0.057	1.562	0.029	0.825	0.024	0.728	0.018	0.567
J=9 months														
Max	0.531	3.064	0.462	2.741	0.470	2.804	0.454	2.717	0.411	2.474	0.399	2.402	0.398	2.397
Max-min	0.301	3.817	0.193	2.995	0.181	2.486	0.208	2.346	0.214	2.213	0.199	1.933	0.184	1.710
Max-vw mkt	0.229	3.519	0.160	3.075	0.169	3.454	0.152	3.281	0.109	2.465	0.097	2.282	0.096	2.298
Max-ew mkt	0.142	2.408	0.073	1.638	0.081	2.002	0.065	1.726	0.022	0.619	0.010	0.293	0.009	0.277
J=12 months														
Max	0.496	2.743	0.482	2.668	0.468	2.600	0.485	2.681	0.479	2.643	0.482	2.667	0.481	2.667
Max-min	0.288	3.499	0.274	3.251	0.259	3.195	0.296	3.057	0.278	2.564	0.273	2.401	0.252	2.145
Max-vw mkt	0.195	2.831	0.181	2.681	0.166	2.690	0.184	2.925	0.177	2.945	0.180	3.066	0.180	3.101
Max-ew mkt	0.107	1.750	0.093	1.571	0.079	1.482	0.096	1.782	0.090	1.752	0.093	1.855	0.092	1.875

Table VI Robustness of Portfolio Performance Results: Shanghai “A” Shares

This table reports summary statistics for the trading strategy of mean reversion with 12-month momentum and 12-month holding period. Max is the top portfolio, Min is the bottom portfolio, and vw Market and ew Market are the value-weighted and equally-weighted averages of all “A” shares traded at the Shanghai Stock Exchange. The alphas and betas are obtained by estimating the traditional CAPM. The mean, standard deviation and alpha are annualized. The sample covers the period from December 12, 1990 to December 31, 2001 with 2732 daily returns observations and 637 stocks. Numbers italicized and in bold face denote statistical significance at the 10% level or better using a 2-sided test.

	Mean	Std dev	t-ratio	Sharpe Ratio	alpha	beta	Annual portfolio turnover rate
Baseline Case							
Max	0.338	6.962	2.075	0.049	0.060	0.958	0.840
max-min	0.222	4.798	1.978	0.046	0.112	0.497	0.881
max-wv mkt	0.050	1.871	1.148	0.027	0.060	-0.042	
max-ew mkt	-0.031	1.604	-0.825	-0.019	-0.019	-0.053	
Portfolio Formed One Day after Ranking							
Max	0.345	6.951	2.119	0.050	0.061	0.957	0.840
max-min	0.208	4.786	1.856	0.043	0.098	0.487	0.881
max-wv mkt	0.052	1.853	1.187	0.028	0.061	-0.043	
max-ew mkt	-0.030	1.588	-0.815	-0.019	-0.018	-0.053	
Stocks Sorted into 3 Portfolios							
Max	0.367	7.031	2.227	0.052	0.084	0.977	0.662
max-min	0.118	3.470	1.457	0.034	0.049	0.314	0.662
max-wv mkt	0.079	1.599	2.102	0.049	0.084	-0.023	
max-ew mkt	-0.003	1.250	-0.086	-0.002	0.005	-0.033	
Stocks Sorted into 5 Portfolios							
Max	0.373	6.971	2.286	0.054	0.094	0.958	0.763
max-min	0.174	4.216	1.759	0.041	0.090	0.380	0.775
max-wv mkt	0.085	1.903	1.911	0.045	0.094	-0.042	
max-ew mkt	0.004	1.635	0.101	0.002	0.016	-0.053	
Stock Sorted into 20 Portfolios							
Max	0.382	7.573	2.152	0.050	0.085	1.041	0.821
max-min	0.352	5.622	2.671	0.063	0.200	0.688	0.862
max-wv mkt	0.094	2.063	1.940	0.045	0.085	0.041	
max-ew mkt	0.012	1.723	0.308	0.007	0.006	0.030	

Table VII Robustness of Portfolio Performance Results: Shenzhen “A” Shares

This table reports summary statistics for the trading strategy of mean reversion with 12-month momentum and 12-month holding period. Max is the top portfolio, Min is the bottom portfolio, and vw Market and ew Market are the value-weighted and equally-weighted averages of all “A” shares traded at the Shenzhen Stock Exchange. The alphas and betas are obtained by estimating the traditional CAPM. The mean, standard deviation and alpha are annualized. The sample covers the period from July 3, 1991 to December 31, 2001 with 2615 daily returns observations and 503 stocks. Numbers italicized and in bold face denote statistical significance at the 10% level or better using a 2-sided test.

	Mean	Std dev	t-ratio	Sharpe Ratio	alpha	beta	Annual portfolio turnover rate
Basic Case							
max	0.481	7.688	2.667	0.063	0.160	1.085	0.828
max-min	0.252	5.013	2.145	0.050	0.188	0.275	0.904
Max-wv mkt	0.180	2.469	3.101	0.073	0.160	0.085	
Max-ew mkt	0.092	2.098	1.875	0.044	0.080	0.052	
Portfolio Formed One Day after Ranking							
max	0.497	7.675	2.757	0.065	0.168	1.084	0.828
max-min	0.249	4.897	2.168	0.051	0.187	0.257	0.904
max-wv mkt	0.189	2.463	3.262	0.077	0.168	0.084	
max-ew mkt	0.101	2.095	2.045	0.048	0.088	0.050	
Stocks Sorted into 3 Portfolios							
max	0.385	7.023	2.335	0.055	0.080	1.014	0.727
max-min	0.153	3.183	2.045	0.048	0.119	0.143	0.724
max-wv mkt	0.083	1.661	2.138	0.050	0.080	0.014	
max-ew mkt	-0.004	1.292	-0.133	-0.003	0.001	-0.019	
Stocks Sorted into 5 Portfolios							
max	0.409	7.118	2.449	0.057	0.102	1.024	0.802
max-min	0.178	3.909	1.941	0.046	0.137	0.173	0.829
max-wv mkt	0.108	1.802	2.543	0.060	0.102	0.024	
max-ew mkt	0.020	1.407	0.612	0.014	0.023	-0.010	
Stock Sorted into 20 Portfolios							
max	0.478	7.760	2.627	0.062	0.156	1.088	0.797
max-min	0.241	5.780	1.776	0.042	0.159	0.348	0.863
max-wv mkt	0.177	2.646	2.846	0.067	0.156	0.088	
max-ew mkt	0.089	2.277	1.673	0.039	0.077	0.054	